

REMARKS

The Applicant does not believe that entry of the foregoing amendment will introduce new matter into the present application for invention. Therefore, the Applicant, respectfully, requests that the above amendment be entered and that the present application for invention be allowed to issue as letters patent. Claims 31-95 are pending in the present application for invention. Claims 31-95 are allowed.

The Notification mailed May 23, 2006 has been received and considered by the Applicant. The response mailed May 29, 2006 to the Ex parte Quayle action dated December 29, 2005 has been refused entry by the Examiner. The Examiner states that the response mailed May 29, 2006 did not conform to 37 CFR §1.125(b) and (c).

The specification is objected to. The Examiner states that a substitute specification is required.

The foregoing amendment to the specification provides a MARK-UP COPY for the requested substitute specification showing all changes that have been made. The substitute specification contained in the amendment made to the specification does not contain any new subject matter.

A CLEAN COPY of the substitute specification is provided in Appendix A to this response. The Summary is objected to for the use of claim numbers, this has been corrected in the substitute specification. The substitute specification contained in the CLEAN COPY that provided in Appendix A to this response does not contain any new subject matter.

The Office Action rejects Claims 10 and 19-21 under the provision of 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The foregoing amendment to the claims has corrected these oversights.

Applicant is not aware of any additional patents, publications, or other information not previously submitted to the Patent and Trademark Office which would be required under 37 C.F.R. 1.99.

In view of the foregoing amendment and remarks, the Applicant believes that the present application is in condition for allowance, with such allowance being, respectfully, requested.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any fees associated with this response, including extension fees but excluding issues fees, to Account No. 50-3745 and to credit any overpayments to the same account.

Respectfully submitted,

By James D. Leimbach

**James D. Leimbach, Reg. 34,374
Patent Attorney (585) 381-9983**

**Please address all correspondence
for this case to:**

Michael E. Belk
Senior Intellectual Property Counsel
Philips Intellectual Property & Standards
Philips Electronics N.A. Corp.
P.O. Box 3001
Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510-8001 USA
914-333-9643

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited this date with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop: AF, COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on: June 23, 2006

(Mailing Date)

Signature:

James D. Leimbach

Person Signing: James D. Leimbach